E-CONTENT PREPARED BY

Dr. Deep Banerjee

Assistant Professor

Department of Commerce Durgapur Government College, Durgapur, West Bengal (Affiliated to Kazi Nazrul University, Asansol, West Bengal) NAAC Accredited "A" Grade College (Recognized under Section 2(f) and 12(B) of UGC Act 1956)

E-Content prepared for students of B.Com. Honours and Honours and Programme (Semester-3rd) in Accounting

Name of Course: Financial Management

Topic of the E-Content

Business Risk

OUT-AND-OUT RISK ANALYSIS



Business Risk

Business Risk is caused by

Economy-specific factors : fluctuations in foreign exchanges, competition, concentration of revenues, inflation, imports, restrictive regulations etc.

Industry-specific factors : special status enjoyed by the industry, growth prospects in the market for the products of the industry.

Company-specific factors :cost structure, liquidity, managerial efficiency, culture, values etc.

Business Risk

Economy Risk

Industry Risk

Company Risk



Financial Risk

Relationship between Business Risk and Financial Risk

Relationship between Risk and Return

Relevance of Risk Analysis

To understand the relative position of the company within the given pattern of industry risk that in turn reflects capability to achieve stability.

► To make risk-return trade-off.

To realign the capital and capital structure, working capital policies and cost structure.

► To revise capital structure.

Risk: Non-statistical Measures

Business Risk
FATA
DOL

Financial Risk
DER
DFL

Risk: Statistical Measures

- BR = C.V. of Operating Profit or Operating Profit Ratio or Operating Profit to Capital Employed Ratio.
- TR = C.V. of Earnings available to Owners' Equity or ROE
- \blacktriangleright FR= TR BR
- CSR = C.V. of Fixed Cost to Total Cost Ratio
- ► CPR= C.V. of Capital Turnover Ratio
- ► LR = C.V. of Current Ratio

Risk Measurement: An Alternative Approach

Ginni's Coefficient

Ginni's Coefficient of Mean Difference

5,11,18,25,41

- 41-5= 36 25-5= 20 18-5= 13 11-5=6
- 41-11=30 25-11=14 18-11=7
- 41-18=23 25-18= 7

41-25=16

- Total=105 Total=41 Total=20 Total=6
- g = 105+41+20+6 = 172
- m = n(n-1)/2 = 5(5-1)/2 = 10

<u>Ginni's Coefficient of mean difference</u>: $\Delta 1 = g/m = 172/10 = 17.2$

Ginni's Coefficient of Concentration:

 $G = \Delta 1 / 2 \times \overline{x}$ = 17.2 / 2 × (5+11+18+25+41) × 1 / 5 = 0.43

Why Ginni's Coefficient?

Ginni's Coefficient has a theoretical appeal since it is based on all the values of the variable and the differences of values among themselves and not on deviations from some measures of central tendency.



Analysis of business risk in the Indian corporate sector during the period 1994-95 to 2013-14 using Ginni's Coefficient

The Backdrop

With the notable transformation in the scenario in the economic front since July 1991 along with other aspects of corporate affairs, the earning trends, cost behaviour pattern, capital productivity and liquidity policies in the Indian corporate sector have also changed significantly leading to noticeable changes in the pattern of business risk associated with the corporates. The Indian enterprises have been forced to reorient their strategies for managing their company-specific components of business risk in the post-liberalization era. Some of them have been able to adapt themselves to the new situation while others could not so reorient. In this backdrop, the present paper attempts to analyze the business risk in the Indian corporate sector during the period 1994-95 to 2013-14.

Research Gap

A good number of studies on the analysis of business and financial risks have been carried out in India and abroad during the last few decades while a very few studies on the same issue relating to the Indian corporate sector has so far been made during the post-liberalization era. By a careful scrutiny of the studies of business risk analysis in Indian corporate sector it can be inferred that no in-depth study on the issue in connection with the business risk associated with the corporate sector in India considering the effects of the major changes that took place in Indian business environment during the post-liberalization period has been made. Even the studies so far conducted at both the national and international levels have failed to make any definite conclusion on the relationship between business risk and operating profitability. Most of the studies so far made in the global perspective are theoretical and associated with financial institutions only. Moreover, Ginni's coefficient of concentration is presently recognised as a reliable measure of risk. But no significant study on the business risk analysis in Indian corporate sector has been carried out using such a coefficient. In order to bridge the gap, it is, therefore, high time to deal with the issue relating to the analysis of business risk in the Indian corporate sector during the post-liberalization period applying Ginni's coefficient of concentration.

Objectives of the Study

- To measure the BR associated with each of the selected companies and of the selected industries as a whole.
- To ascertain the company-specific components of BR associated with each of the selected companies, to analyze industry averages of such components and to test whether there was any uniformity among the trends in such components.
- ✤ To study the relative risk-return status of the selected industries.
- To analyze the closeness of association between BR and return of the selected companies.
- To assess the joint effect of the company-specific components of BR associated with the selected companies on their returns.
- To examine whether the findings of the study conform to the theoretical arguments.

Methodology of the study

- The study is based on 20 major industries in India which were selected from the manufacturing sector following purposive sampling procedure.
- 100 companies were selected by taking the top 5 companies (based on market capitalization as per BSE on 31st March, 2015) from each of the 20 selected industries.
- The data of the selected companies as well as industries for the period 1994-95 to 2013-14 used in this study were taken from secondary sources i.e. Capitaline Corporate Database of Capital Market Publishers (I) Ltd. Mumbai.
- In this study the financial year 1994-95 was considered as the initial year of the post-liberalization period.
- * While measuring business risk and its company-specific components of each of the selected companies Ginni's coefficient of concentration was used. For making analysis of the computed values of risks, statistical techniques like Pearson's simple correlation analysis, Spearman's rank correlation analysis, analysis of Kendall's coefficient of concordance, multiple correlation analysis and multiple regression analysis and statistical tests like t test, F test and Chi-square (χ^2) test were applied at appropriate places.

Serial No.	Industry	Business Risk	Status	Rank
1	Breweries & Distilleries	0.121	В	20
2	Cement	0.175	В	13
3	Ceramics & Granite	0.161	В	16
4	Chemicals	0.181	В	11
5	Computers - Hardware	0.213	А	7
6	Consumer Goods-Electronic	0.173	В	14
7	Domestic Appliances	0.215	А	5
8	Engineering - Heavy	0.127	В	19
9	Fertilizer	0.193	А	9
10	Glass & Glass Products	0.129	В	18
11	Food Processing	0.183	В	10
12	Infrastructure - General	0.214	А	6
13	Leather Products	0.156	В	17
14	Mining & Minerals	0.179	В	12
15	Paints & Varnishes	0.256	А	1
16	Paper	0.168	В	15
17	Personal Care	0.237	А	3
18	Pharmaceuticals	0.246	А	2
19	Steel - Large	0.196	А	8
20	Tyre	0.216	А	4
In	dian Manufacturing Industry Average		0.187	
denotes ' Busines	ss Risk above the Indian Manufacturing Industry	Average' and 'B' denotes '	Business Risl	k below th

Source: Compiled and computed from 'Capitaline Corporate Database' of Capitaline Market Publishers (I) Ltd., Mumbai.



Table 2: Ranks of Company-specific Components of Business Risk of the Selected Industries in India										
Sorial No	Company	Liquidity Risk (LR)		Cost Structure Risk (CSR)			Capital Productivity Risk (CPR)			
Serial NO.	Company	LR	Status	Rank	CSR	Status	Rank	CPR	Status	Rank
1	Breweries & Distilleries	0.127	В	18	0.011	В	19	0.196	А	5
2	Cement	0.185	В	10	0.037	В	10	0.202	А	2
3	Ceramics & Granite	0.151	В	16	0.018	В	16	0.169	Α	8
4	Chemicals	0.174	В	14	0.029	В	12	0.163	А	9
5	Computers- Hardware	0.193	А	9	0.047	А	8	0.257	А	1
б	Consumer Goods Electronic	0.168	В	15	0.020	В	15	0.112	В	17
7	Domestic Appliances	0.210	А	5	0.069	А	4	0.183	А	6
8	Engineering- Heavy	0.119	В	20	0.009	В	20	0.104	В	19
9	Fertilizer	0.201	А	8	0.055	А	7	0.197	А	4
10	Glass & Glass Products	0.124	В	19	0.017	В	17	0.101	В	20
11	Food Processing	0.293	А	1	0.089	А	2	0.154	В	12
12	Infrastructure-General	0.204	А	6	0.056	А	6	0.129	В	15
13	Leather Products	0.144	В	17	0.016	В	18	0.111	В	18
14	Mining & Minerals	0.183	В	11	0.032	В	11	0.155	В	11
15	Paints & Varnishes	0.261	А	2	0.097	А	1	0.199	А	3
16	Paper	0.180	В	12	0.027	В	13	0.161	А	10
17	Personal Care	0.240	A	3	0.075	А	- 3	0.179	А	7
18	Pharma	0.231	А	4	0.068	А	5	0.141	В	14
19	Steel - Large	0.175	В	13	0.022	В	14	0.128	А	16
20	Tyres	0.203	А	7	0.046	А	9	0.146	В	13
Indian Manufacturing Industry Average			0.189			0.043		0.	.159	

'A' denotes 'LR/CSR/CPR above the Indian Manufacturing Industry Average' and

'B' denotes 'LR/CSR/CPR below the Indian Manufacturing Industry Average'

Kendall's coefficient of concordance among the selected company-specific components of business risk (W) is 0.1185 and Chi-square (χ 2) value of W is 6.7545 being insignificant at 0.05 level.

Source: Compiled and computed from 'Capitaline Corporate Database' of Capitaline Market Dublishers (I) I td. Mumbai



- It is, generally, accepted that disparity among the nature of instability in short term debt paying capability, cost behaviour pattern and capital productivity is obvious.
- Thus, lack of uniformity among the trends in LR, CSR and CPR in different industries is a natural phenomenon.
- At a glance, the same trend in the selected industries was also observed during the period under study.
- Table 2 shows that the computed value of Kendall's coefficient of concordance was 0.1185 which was not found to be statistically significant even at 5 per cent level.
- It again confirms that uniformity among the trends in the selected company-specific components of business risk was absent during the study period.

Table 3.1: Risk-return Status of the Selected Industries in India based on						
combination of Business Risk and Return						
ROCE Business Risk	High (≥ 30%)	Moderate (>15% but <30%)	Low (≤15%)			
High (≥0.20)	Computers – Hardware, Paints & Varnishes, Personal Care, Pharmaceuticals	Domestic Appliances, Infrastructure – General	Tyres			
Moderate (>0.15 but <0.20)	Consumer Goods- Electronic, Food Processing, Mining & Minerals	Cement, Ceramics & Granite, Chemicals, Fertilizer, Leather Products, Steel - Large	Paper			
Low (≤0.15)	Breweries & Distilleries	Engineering – Heavy	Glass & Glass Products			
Source: Compiled and computed from 'Capitaline Corporate Database' of Capital Market Publishers (I) Ltd., Mumbai.						

Table 3.2 : Risk-return Status of the Selected Industries in India based on the							
combination of Liquidity Risk and Return							
ROCE LR	High (≥ 30%)	Moderate (>15% but<30%)	Low (≤15%)				
High (≥ 0.20)	Food Processing, Paints & Varnishes, Personal Care, Pharmaceuticals	Domestic Appliances, Fertilizer, Infrastructure – General	Tyres				
Moderate (>0.15 but<0.20)	Computers – Hardware, Consumer Goods- Electronic, Mining & Minerals	Cement, Ceramics & Granite, Chemicals, Steel - Large	Paper				
Low (≤ 0.15)	Breweries & Distilleries	Engineering – Heavy, Leather Products	Glass & Glass Products				
Source: Compiled and computed from 'Capitaline Corporate Database' of Capital Market							

Publishers (I) Ltd., Mumbai.

Table 3.3 : Risk-return Status of the Selected Industries in India based on the combination of Cost						
ROCE STRUCTURE KISK and Keturn						
CSR	High (≥ 30%)	Moderate (>15% but<30%)	Low (≤15%)			
High (≥ 0.065)	Food Processing, Paints & Varnishes, Personal Care, Pharmaceuticals	Domestic Appliances				
Moderate (>0.035 but<0.065)	Computers – Hardware	Fertilizer, Infrastructure -General, Cement	Tyres			
Low (≤ 0.035)	Breweries & Distilleries, Consumer Goods-Electronic, Mining & Minerals	Ceramics & Granite, Chemicals, Steel – Large, Engineering – Heavy, Leather Products	Glass & Glass Products, Paper			
Source: Compiled and computed from 'Capitaline Corporate Database' of Capital Market Publishers (I) Ltd., Mumbai.						

Table 3.4: Risk-return Status	s of the Selected Industries Productivity <u>Risk a</u>	s in India based on the co nd Return	mbination of Capital
ROCE CPR	High (≥ 30%)	Moderate (>15% but<30%)	Low (≤15%)
High (≥ 0.20)	Computers – Hardware	Cement	
Moderate (>0.15 but<0.20)	Food Processing, Paints & Varnishes, Personal Care, Breweries & Distilleries, Mining & Minerals	Domestic Appliances, Fertilizer, Ceramics & Granite, Chemicals	Paper
Low (≤ 0.15)	Pharmaceuticals, Consumer Goods- Electronic	Infrastructure -General, Steel - Large, Engineering - Heavy, Leather Products	Tyres, Glass & Glass Products

Source: Compiled and computed from 'Capitaline Corporate Database' of Capital Market Publishers (I) Ltd., Mumbai.

Table 4 : Analysis of Relationship between Risk and Return of the Selected Companies in Indian Industry						
Correlation Coefficient between Correlation Measure	Business Risk and Return	Liquidity Risk and Return	Cost Structure Risk and Return	Capital Productivity Risk and Return		
Pearson	0.2134*	0.2569*	0.2123*	0.0561		
Spearman	0.2312*	0.3001**	0.3015**	0.1214		
*Significant at 0.05 lev Source : Compiled ar Market Publishers (I) L	vel. ** Sign nd computed .td., Mumbai.	nificant at 0.01 from 'Capitali	level. ne Corporate Datal	base' of Capital		

Table 5 : Analysis of Multiple Regression and Multiple Correlation of Return on							
Company-specific components of Business Risk of the Selected Companies in the							
	Indian Industry						
Multiple Regression Equation of ROCE on LR, CSR and CPR:							
$ROCE = b_0 + b_1$	$LR + b_2.CSR + b_3.CPR + e$						
Variable	Partial Regression Coefficient	t Value					
LR	4.567	2.512*					
CSR	8.239	3.018**					
CPR	0.298	1.006					
Constant	12.015	7.719					
Multiple Correlation	on Coefficient of ROCE on LR, CSR and	CPR:					
$R_{PLCC} = 0.349$)						
$R^{2}_{PLCC} = 0.12$	18						
F = 4.4382	$F = 4.4382^{**}$						
*Significant at 0.05	level.						
** Significant at 0.0)1 level						
Source: Compiled a	nd computed from 'Capitaline Corporate Da	atabase' of Capital Market					
Publishers (I) Ltd., 1	Mumbai.						

- A 'high-high' combination of BR or its companyspecific components and return is theoretically desirable.
- The empirical results obtained from the analysis of interrelation as made in this study using two correlation measures provide strong evidence of positive relationship between risk and return in most of the cases.
- The study of multiple regression of ROCE on LR, CSR and CPR also provides strong evidence of the significant positive influence of LR and CSR on operating profitability implying that in the said cases high risk was well compensated by high risk premium i.e. high return in the selected companies during the period under study.

